

### REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 10, 12-14, 16, 18-22 and 24-29 are allowed.

Claim 28 has been objected to. Claim 28 has been amended to correct the typographical error.

Claim 25 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wu in view of Young. Applicant's attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the telephone conference of May 2, 2011. Applicant has amended claim 25 to include language from allowable claim 1 that Applicant believes is inherent. The Examiner will reconsider the rejection.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 2, 2011

By: /Christopher J. Reckamp/  
Christopher J. Reckamp  
Registration No. 34,414

Vedder Price P.C.  
222 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
phone: (312) 609-7599  
fax: (312) 609-5005